



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,298	03/10/2006	Dirk Simon	PP/1-22950/CGM 533/PCT	6245
324 7590 02/06/2009 JoAnn Villamizar Ciba Corporation/Patent Department 540 White Plains Road P.O. Box 2005 Tarrytown, NY 10591				
EXAMINER DOLLINGER, MICHAEL M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1796				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/06/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/571,298

**Applicant(s)**

SIMON ET AL.

**Examiner**

MICHAEL DOLLINGER

**Art Unit**

1796

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF 298)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

***Election/Restrictions***

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant must pick one species for each of (1), (2) and (3). The species are as follows:

(1) Species of polycondensate. Applicant must pick one of:

- i) an aliphatic or aromatic polyester **OR**
- ii) an aliphatic or aromatic polyamide **OR**
- iii) a polycarbonate **OR**
- iv) a blend or copolymer thereof. If chosen applicant must pick a specific blend or copolymer (i.e. pick from the above listed species),

**AND**

(2) Species of bis-acyllactam. Applicant must pick one of:

- i) formula Ia
- II) formula Ib

**and further** applicant must pick one value or species for m, and A where appropriate,

**AND**

(3) Species of combination. Applicant must pick one of:

- i) compound a and b1 of claim 1. If chosen applicant must pick one of
  - A) phosphite, or

B) phosphinate, or

C) phosphonate

**and further** applicant must pick one species of claims 6-9, which includes picking one formula between formulas II, IIa, III, IV, V, VII and XX **and** picking one value or species for each R group, Q group and X group, where appropriate,

**OR**

ii) compound a and b2 of claim 1. If chosen applicant must pick one species of claims 10-13, which includes picking one formula of X, XIVa, XIVb and XV, **and** picking one species or value for each R group, X group and n,

**OR**

iii) compound a and b3 of claim 1. If chosen applicant must pick one of

A) phosphite, or

B) phosphinate, or

C) phosphonate

**and further** applicant must pick one species of claims 6-9 as described above **and** applicant must pick one species of claim 10-13 as described above.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).


2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- (1) corresponds to claims 2-4
- (2) corresponds to claim 5, and
- (3) corresponds to claims 6-13

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-3, 5 and 14-21.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature cannot be special because it is disclosed in the prior art. The common technical feature of all the claims is the combination of a polycondensate, a bis-acyllactam and at least one compound chosen from the group consisting of phosphite, phosphinate, phosphonate, benzofuran-2-one or mixtures thereof. Pfaendner et al (WO 96/11978) disclose a process for increasing the molecular weight of a polycondensate by heating a mixture of the polycondensate, a hydroxyphenylalkylphosphonic acid ester or mono-ester (phosphonate) and at least one compound selected from a groups

including acyllactams [page 2 paragraph 4]. The acyllactam includes those of the formula:



[page 21]

which corresponds to a bis-acyllactam. Pfaendner et al disclose the combination of a polycondensate, a phosphonate and a bis-acyllactam. Henceforth the common technical feature of the claims is not special and the present claims lack unity of invention.

4. Due to the complexity of this species of election, Examiner did not attempt to contact the Applicant for election by telephone.

#### ***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL DOLLINGER whose telephone number is (571)270-5464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1796

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Randy Gulakowski/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

MICHAEL DOLLINGER  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1796

/mmd/